

First Homoleptic Complexes of Isocyanoferrocene

Mikhail V. Barybin,*^{,†} Thomas C. Holovics,[†] Stephan F. Deplazes,[†] Gerald H. Lushington,[†] Douglas R. Powell,[†] and Masaharu Toriyama[‡]

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Drive, Lawrence, Kansas, 66045, and College of Pharmacy, Nihon University, 7-7-1 Narashinodai, Funabashi-shi, Chiba 274-8555, Japan

Received August 23, 2002

Isocyanide complexes of transition metals are of fundamental and practical interest, particularly because of their valuable role in organic and organometallic synthesis, catalysis, and radiological medicine.¹ The chemistry of isocyanoferrocene, CNFc (1), an intriguing potentially redox-active ligand, has remained practically undeveloped since the discovery of the compound in the late 1980s.² This is, undoubtedly, due to the tedious synthesis of FcNH₂ coupled with irreproducibility^{2a} of its conversion into CNFc. The isolated complexes of 1 are limited to $(OC)_5Cr(CNFc)$ and $(OC)_4Fe (CNFc).^{2.3}$ Notably, the electronic properties of isocyanoferrocene as a ligand have been suggested to be comparable to those of methyl isocyanide.^{2b,c}

It has long been accepted that ferrocenyl (Fc) is a slightly stronger electron-donating substituent than methyl.⁴ Despite many parallels in the chemistries of ferrocene and arenes, consideration of ferrocenyl's π system as a potential electron acceptor has not been addressed experimentally. This is not surprising given that the five-membered rings of Cp₂Fe already possess an effective negative charge of ca. 0.35.⁴ Herein, we describe the first binary complexes of **1**, [Cr(CNFc)₆]^{0,1+,2+}. Their properties indicate that the π -accepting potential of the ferrocenyl fragment, customarily compared to an alkyl group, is similar to that of aryl substituents.

An efficient synthesis of peach-colored 1 was accomplished by treating solid FcNH2⁵ with a 65/35 mol % mixture of phenyl formate/phenol,⁶ followed by dehydration of the resulting ferrocenylformamide with strictly 1.0 equiv of POCl₃ in the presence of ^{*i*}Pr₂NH in CH₂Cl₂ (Scheme 1). The superior formylating potency of PhOCHO as compared to other commonly used reagents, such as EtOCHO or acetic-formic anhydride, permitted us to conduct the formylation step at ambient temperature. This prevented previously encountered^{2a} extensive thermal decomposition of FcNHCHO. Combining 6 equiv of **1** with $Cr(\eta^6-naphthalene)_2$ ⁷ in THF afforded crystalline, orange-red Cr(CNFc)₆ (2). Oxidation of 2 with 1.0 equiv of $AgBF_4$ in CH_2Cl_2 gave $[Cr(CNFc)_6][BF_4]$ (3), which was separated from the Ag metal by filtering the reaction mixture through a bed of Celite and isolated as saddle-brown microcrystals. Subsequent treatment of 3 with 1.0 equiv of AgBF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ provided [Cr(CNFc)₆][BF₄]₂ (4) as a sparkling, forestgreen solid.

Spectroscopic and magnetic properties of **2**, **3**, and **4** are fully consistent with their formulations as low-spin, octahedral complexes of Cr(0), Cr(I), and Cr(II), respectively. The energy of the "T_{1u}"-like ν (CN) band increases upon going from **2** (1971 cm⁻¹) to **3** (2053 cm⁻¹) to **4** (2131 cm⁻¹). This indicates sequential oxidation of the chromium rather than the iron atoms. The above ν (CN) energies compare well with the corresponding ν (CN) data reported





 a (i) ex. PhOCHO/PhOH, 20 °C; (ii) POCl₃, ex. $^i\text{Pr}_2\text{NH}$, 0 °C; (iii) $^{1/_6}$ Cr(η^6 -naphthalene)₂, 20 °C; (iv) AgBF₄, 20 °C.

for $[Cr(CNAryl)_6]^{0,1+,2+,8}$ Also, the CN stretching frequencies of **2** and **3** are significantly depressed with respect to that of free CNFc **4** (2122 cm⁻¹), reflecting substantial back-bonding within these complexes. Compounds **3** and **4** are paramagnetic with μ_{eff} (24.5 °C) = 1.78 and 2.76 μ_B , respectively.

The complexes 2, 3, and 4 are unique due to the incorporation of seven potentially electroactive metal centers within a relatively compact ML₆ motif.⁹ The X-ray structure of 2·CH₂Cl₂ is shown in Figure 1.¹⁰ The metric parameters of the nearly perfectly octahedral Cr(CN)₆ core are in accord with those observed for other binary isocyanides of chromium(0).¹¹ The average C-N-C(Fc) angle in 2 is 162°. Such a degree of bending at the N atom is also typical for zerovalent, octahedral complexes of bulky aryl isocyanides $(aryl = 2,6-Me_2C_6H_4, 2,6-Pr_2C_6H_4)$.^{11b,12} Notably, the average C-N-C('Bu) angle in Cr(CN'Bu)₆ is 153°.^{11a} The less pronounced bending of aryl-substituted isocyanide ligands in their low-valent complexes has been attributed to partial delocalization of the backdonated electron density into the aromatic rings, which can be described by the linear resonance structures M=C=N⁺=Aryl⁻.^{1a,b} The shortest Fe···Fe distance within 2 is 6.29 Å, which is only 0.93 Å greater than that in zwitterionic Fc₄B.¹³

The remarkable air, light, and thermal stabilities of **2**, **3**, and **4** constitute compelling evidence that the ferrocenyl substituent in **1** is much closer to an aryl rather than an alkyl group in terms of its electronic influence. Indeed, species $[Cr(CNAryl)_6]^{0,1+,2+}$ are generally air- and thermally robust in the solid state.⁸ On the contrary, the only two binary alkyl isocyanides of Cr(0) known, Cr(CN'Bu)₆ and Cr(CNC₆H₁₁)₆, are very air- and light-sensitive.^{7b,11a} Furthermore, elusive $[Cr(CNAlkyl)_6]^+$ species¹⁴ are too thermally unstable to be isolated. Similar to $[Cr(CNAryl)_6]^{2+}$, **4** is reluctant to add another ligand, while seven-coordinate $[Cr(CNAlkyl)_7]^{2+}$ (Alkyl = 'Bu, C₆H₁₁) is well documented.¹⁴

Our DFT calculations on an optimized model of **1** suggest that partial delocalization of back-donated electron density into the nonbenzoid π^* system of the CNFc ligands is, indeed, feasible. From Figure 2 it is apparent that CNFc's LUMO+2 is especially

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mbarybin@ku.edu. [†] University of Kansas. [‡] Nihon University.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of **2**·CH₂Cl₂. Hydrogen atoms and a CH₂Cl₂ molecule of crystallization are omitted for clarity.



Figure 2. Four closely spaced lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals of 1 and their corresponding energies calculated at the 6-31 G (D, F) level.

Table 1. ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁴N NMR Chemical Shifts^a in ppm for 1-4

	Hα	H^{β}	Hγ	Cα	C^{β}	Cγ	Ν
1	4.566	4.134	4.315	67.2	67.2	71.0	172.1
2	4.273	4.182	4.336	67.6	67.6	70.8	310.4
3	1.316	5.729	3.984	109.2	77.5	71.7	863.5
4	-1.039	7.751	3.832	158.5	97.3	72.6	1044.4

^{*a*} In CD₂Cl₂ at 25.0 °C versus Me₄Si (¹H and ¹³C) and liquid NH₃ (¹⁴N); see Scheme 1 for atom labeling legend.

suitable for such an interaction to occur. One may also notice striking analogies of CNFc's LUMO+1, LUMO+2, and LUMO+3 to CNPh's LUMO+1, LUMO, and LUMO+2,^{1a,15} respectively.

The paramagnetic complexes **3** and **4** give relatively narrow ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁴N NMR signals (Table 1). This fact is consistent with their ²T and ³T ground states, respectively, characterized by very short electron spin relaxation times, T_{1e} .¹⁶ The ¹H paramagnetic shifts for **3** and **4**, determined relative to the chemical shifts of the corresponding nuclei in diamagnetic **2**, exhibit approximately Curie behavior at 200 K < T < 300 K (i.e., $\Delta \delta \propto 1/T$ and $\Delta \delta \{T = \infty\}$ ≈ 0) and are practically contact in origin because of the high symmetry of the complexes.¹⁷ The ¹H paramagnetic shifts for the substituted five-membered rings of **3** and **4** are large and occur in both directions, thus confirming the presence of unpaired spin in their π systems.^{12,16}

Finally, cyclic voltammograms of **2** in THF exhibit two oneelectron successive quasi-reversible anodic waves at $E_{1/2} = -0.916$ V and -0.402 V versus Cp₂Fe⁺/Cp₂Fe. Undoubtedly, these correspond to the Cr-centered oxidations generating the cations of **3** and **4**, respectively. The above $E_{1/2}$ values are comparable to those observed for the [Cr(CNAryl)₆]^{0/1+ and 1+/2+} (Aryl = 2,6-Me₂C₆H₄, 2,6-Pr₂C₆H₄) couples,⁸ but are much less negative than those obtained for the [Cr(CNAlkyl)₆]^{0/1+ and 1+/2+} couples.^{14a} Application of higher potentials to a solution of **2** produced a broad, structured anodic wave at $E_{1/2} \approx +0.3$ V. This is likely due to iron-centered oxidations of multiple Fc substituents. The latter assignment is supported by the fact that the first wave in the electrochemical oxidation of Cr(CO)₅(CNFc) describes a quasi-reversible Fe(II)/ Fe(III) process with $E_{1/2} = +0.305$ V.^{2b,c}

In summary, we have reported herein on the first binary complexes of isocyanoferrocene and demonstrated that the donor/ acceptor ratio^{1b} of the CNFc ligand is similar to those of aryl isocyanides but quite different from those of alkyl isocyanides. We hope that this communication will open a new intriguing chapter in the multifaceted chemistry¹ of metal isocyanides. Our current efforts are focused on the exploration of the unique series of mixed-valence species [Cr(CNFc)₆]ⁿ⁺ (n > 2).

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work was provided by the University of Kansas. The authors are indebted to Dr. David VanderVelde for the assistance with NMR experiments. We also thank Prof. A. S. Borovik and his research group for the use of their electrochemical equipment and useful discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, spectroscopic and analytical data for 1-4, X-ray crystallographic information for 2, variable temperature ¹H NMR data for 3 and 4, and details of the DFT calculations (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Singleton, E.; Oosthuizen, H. E. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 209–309. (b) Treichel, P. M. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 11, 21–86. (c) Carnahan, E. M.; Protasiewicz, J. D.; Lippard, S. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 90–97. (d) Weber, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1515–1517. (e) Sharma, V.; Piwnica-Worms, D. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2545–2560.
- (2) (a) Knox, G. R.; Pauson, P. L.; Willison, D.; Solcániová, E.; Toma, Š. Organometallics **1990**, 9, 301–306. (b) El-Shihi, T.; Siglmüller, F.; Herrmann, R.; Carvalho, M. F. N. N.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. J. Organomet. Chem. **1987**, 335, 239–247. (c) El-Shihi, T.; Siglmüller, F.; Herrmann, R.; Carvalho, M. F. N. N.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. Port. Electrochim. Acta **1987**, 5, 179–185.
- (3) A mixture of *cis-/trans*-[(CO)₄Mo(CNFc)₂] was also reported (ref 2a).
- (4) Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Perevalova, E. G.; Gibin, S. P.; Grandberg, K. I.; Kozlovsky, A. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, *22*, 2381–2387.
- (5) Several improved syntheses of FcNH₂ have recently been published: (a) van Leusen, D.; Hessen, B. Organometallics 2001, 20, 224–226. (b) Bildstein, B.; Malaun, M.; Kopacka, H.; Wurst, K.; Mitterböck, M.; Ongania, K.-H.; Opromolla, G.; Zanello, P. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4325–4336. (c) Kavallieratos, K.; Hwang, S.; Crabtree, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5184–5186.
- (6) Yale, H. L. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 3228-3240.
- (7) (a) Pomije, M. K.; Kurth, C. J.; Ellis, J. E.; Barybin, M. V. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3582–3587. (b) Kündig, E. P.; Timms, P. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans. 1980, 991–995.
- (8) (a) Bullock, J. P.; Mann, K. R. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 4006–4011. (b) Treichel, P. M.; Essenmacher, G. J. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 146–150.
- (9) To the best of our knowledge, only one other species incorporating six ferrocenyl groups separated from the central metal ion by no more than two atoms is known. This is a Cd(II) complex of 1,4,7,10,13,16-hexa-(ferrocenylmethyl)-1,4,7,10,13,16-hexaza-cyclooctadecane: Lloris, J. M.; Martinez-Mañez, R.; Pardo, T.; Soto, J.; Padilla-Tosta, M. E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1998**, 2635–2641.
- (10) Crystal data for **2**·CH₂Cl₂: C₆₇H₅₆Cl₂CRFe₆N₆, triclinic, $P\overline{1}$, red plate, a = 9.7777(11) Å, b = 10.6494(12) Å, c = 14.6628(17) Å, $\alpha = 92.226-(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 95.533(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 111.283(2)^{\circ}$, V = 1411.5(3) Å³, T = 100(2) K, Z = 1, $R_1 = 0.0353$, w $R_2 = 0.0884$, GOF = 1.023 on F^2 .
- (11) (a) Acho, J. A.; Lippard, S. J. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1294–1299.
 (b) Anderson, K. A.; Scott, B.; Wherland, S.; Willett, R. D. Acta Crystallogr. 1991, C47, 2337–2339. (c) Ljungström, E. Acta Chem. Scand. 1978, A32, 47–50.
- (12) Barybin, M. V.; Young, V. G., Jr.; Ellis, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4678–4691.
- (13) Cowan, D. O.; Shu, P.; Hedberg, F. L.; Rossi, M.; Kistenmacher, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1304–1307.
- (14) (a) Mialki, W. S.; Wigley, D. E.; Wood, T. E.; Walton, R. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 480–485. (b) Dewan, J. C.; Mialki, W. S.; Walton, R. A.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 133–136.
- (15) (a) Wagner, N. L.; Kloss, J. M.; Murphy, K.; Bennett, D. W.; Dixon, D. A. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 2001, 41, 50–55. (b) Guy, M. P.; Guy, J. T., Jr.; Bennett, D. W. J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM) 1985, 122, 95–99.
- (16) LaMar, G. N., Horrocks, W. D., Jr., Holm, R. H., Eds. NMR of Paramagnetic Molecules; Academic Press: New York, 1973.
- (17) Detailed account of the ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁴N NMR patterns for 3 and 4 will be reported elsewhere: Barybin, M. V.; Holovics, T. C., manuscript in preparation.

JA028271S